

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/809,698	03/26/2004	Daniel L. Nydegger	05165.1460	8140		
7590 09/07/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER		
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP Washington Square, Suite 1100 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.			ACKUN, JACOB K			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20036	•	3723			
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/809,6	98	NYDEGGER, DANIEL L.			
		Examine	r	Art Unit			
			Ackun Jr.	3723			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	ss		
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any n	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTONS OF THE MINISTON OF THE MINIST	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the an	HIS COMMUNICATION vent, however, may a reply be tim vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ARANDONE!	I. ely filed the mailing date of this commu			
Status			•				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on					
_		2b)⊡ This action is i	non-final				
'	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	on of Claims	·					
4) 又	Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the a	pplication					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
_	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or election re	quirement				
		on anaror oleodon re	quirement.				
	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the		_				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any object						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	en received.				
	 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internatio 	of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Sta	ge		
· S	ee the attached detailed Office actio	n for a list of the cer	lified copies not receive	a.			
Attachment	` '						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite	2)		
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/809,698

Art Unit: 3723

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-12, drawn to an ultrasonic blade, classified in class 30, subclass unknown.

Page 2

- II. Claims 13-28, drawn to a device to generate a profile of a cutting tool or ultrasonic blade, classified in class 451, subclass 64.
- III. Claims 29-39, drawn to a method of generating a profile of an ultrasonic blade, classified in class 451, subclass 45.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I, II and III are directed to related inventions. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants and the inventions as claimed can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function or effect.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob K. Ackun Jr. whose telephone number is (571)272-4418. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8.30AM-5.00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on (571)272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jacob K. Ackun Jr. Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723